

# Reachability and controllability of positive linear systems with state feedbacks

T. Kaczorek

Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Institute of Control and Industrial Electronics  
00-662 Warszawa, Koszykowa 75, Poland  
e-mail: kaczorek @ nov.isep.pw.edu.pl

**Abstract.** It is shown that the reachability and controllability of positive linear systems is not invariant under the state-feedbacks.

**Key Words.** Controllability, invariance, positive linear system, reachability, state-feedback.

## 1. Introduction.

The reachability, controllability and observability of linear systems have been considered in many papers [1-4,14,15,17-19]. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the reachability and controllability of positive linear systems have been established in [5-7,16,17]. The reachability and controllability of weakly positive discrete-time and continuous-time linear systems have been studied in [9-13]. It is well-known [8] that the reachability and controllability of the standard linear systems is invariant under the state-feedbacks. In this paper it will be shown that the reachability and controllability of linear positive systems is not invariant under the state-feedbacks. In other words a positive linear system which is not  $n$ -step reachable (controllable) by suitable choice of the state-feedback gain matrix can be made  $n$ -step reachable (controllable).

## 2. Preliminaries.

Consider the linear discrete-time system

$$(1) \quad x_{i+1} = Ax_i + Bu_i, \quad i \in Z_+ := \{0,1,\dots\}$$

where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $A, B$  are real matrices of appropriate dimensions.

The system (1) is called positive if for every  $x_0 \in R_+^n$  and any  $u_i \in R_+^m$  we have  $x \in R_+^n$ , where  $R_+^n$  is the set of  $n$ -dimensional real vectors with nonnegative components. It is easy to show that [11] the system (1) is positive if and only if  $A \in R_+^{n \times n}$  and  $B \in R_+^{n \times m}$ , where  $R_+^{n \times m}$  denotes the set of  $n \times m$  real matrices with nonnegative entries.

**Definition 1.** The positive system (1) is called  $h$ -step reachable if for every  $x_f \in R_+^n$  (and  $x_0 = 0$ ) there exists a input sequence  $u_i \in R_+^m$ ,  $i = 0,1,\dots,h-1$  such that  $x_h = x_f$ .

**Definition 2.** The positive system (1) is called reachable if for every  $x_f \in R_+^n$  (and  $x_0 = 0$ ) there exists  $h \in Z_+$  and  $u_i \in R_+^m$ ,  $i = 0,1,\dots,h-1$  such that  $x_h = x_f$ .

**Definition 3.** The positive system (1) is called controllable if for every nonzero  $x_f, x_0 \in R_+^n$  there exists  $h \in Z_+$  and  $u_i \in R_+^m, i = 0, 1, \dots, h-1$  such that  $x_h = x_f$ .

**Definition 4.** The positive system (1) is called controllable to zero if for every  $x_0 \in R_+^n$  there exists  $h \in Z_+$  and  $u_i \in R_+^m, i = 0, 1, \dots, h-1$  such that  $x_h = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** [7] The positive system (1) is n-step reachable if and only if:

- $\text{rank } R_n = n$
- there exists a non-singular matrix  $\bar{R}_n$  consisting of  $n$  columns of  $R_n$  such that  $R_n^{-1} \in R_+^{n \times n}$  or equivalently  $R_n$  has  $n$  linearly independent columns each containing only one positive entry

where

$$(2) \quad R_n := [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B] \in R_+^{n \times nm}$$

If the positive system (1) is reachable then it is always n-step reachable [6,7,9-13].

**Theorem 2.** [7] The positive system (1) is controllable if and only if:

- the matrix  $R_n$  (defined by (2)) has  $n$  linearly independent columns each containing only one positive entry
- the spectral radius  $r(A)$  of  $A$  is  $r(A) < 1$  if the transfer from  $x_0$  to  $x_f$  is allowed in an infinite number of steps and  $r(A) = 0$  if the transfer from  $x_0$  to  $x_f$  is required in a finite number of steps.

### 3. Reachability of positive systems.

#### 3.1. Single-input systems

Let us assume that for  $m = 1$  the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  of (1) have the canonical form

$$(3) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in R_+^{n \times n}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in R_+^{n \times 1}$$

It is easy to see that for (3)

$$(4) \quad \text{rank}[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B] = n$$

but the condition ii) of theorem 1 is not satisfied if at least one  $a_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . In this case the positive system (1) with (3) is not n-step reachable.

Consider the system (1) with state-feedback

$$(5) \quad u_i = v_i + Kx_i$$

where  $K \in R^{1 \times n}$  and  $v_i$  is the new input.

Substitution of (5) into (1) yields

$$(6) \quad x_{i+1} = A_c x_i + B v_i, \quad i \in Z_+$$

where

$$(7) \quad A_c = A + BK$$

For (3) and

$$(8) \quad K = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$$

the matrix (7) has the form

$$(9) \quad A_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using (9) we obtain

$$[B, A_c B, \dots, A_c^{n-1} B] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied and the closed-loop system is n-step reachable. Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

**Theorem 3.** Let the positive system (1) with (3) is not n-step reachable. Then the closed-loop system (6) with (9) is n-step reachable if the state-feedback gain matrix  $K$  has the form (8).

**Corollary 1.** The n-step reachability of positive system (1) with (3) is not invariant under the state-feedback (5).

**Remark 1.** It is well-known [8] that if the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfies the condition (4) then it can be transformed by linear state transformation  $\bar{x}_i = Px_i$ ,  $\det P \neq 0$  to the canonical form (3)

$$\bar{A} = PAP^{-1}, \bar{B} = PB$$

and

$$[\bar{B}, \bar{A}\bar{B}, \dots, \bar{A}^{n-1}\bar{B}] = P[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B]$$

Note that the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied if and only if  $P$  is a monomial matrix (in each row and column has only one positive entry and the remaining entries are zero).

### 3.2. Multi-input systems.

Let the matrices  $A, B$  of (1) with  $m > 1$  have the canonical form

$$(10a) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix}, B = \text{diag}[b_1, \dots, b_m]$$

where

$$A_{ii} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0^{ii} & -a_1^{ii} & -a_2^{ii} & \cdots & -a_{d_i-1}^{ii} \end{bmatrix} \in R_+^{d_i \times d_i},$$

$$(10b) \quad A_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -a_0^{ij} & -a_1^{ij} & \cdots & -a_{d_j-1}^{ij} \end{bmatrix} \in R_+^{d_i \times d_j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m, \quad i \neq j$$

$$b_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in R_+^{d_i \times 1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m d_i = n$$

It is easy to check that for (10) the condition (4) holds but the condition ii) of theorem 1 is not satisfied if at least  $m$  of the coefficients  $a_k^{ii} \neq 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, d_{i-1}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . In this case the positive system (1) with (10) is not  $n$ -step reachable. The closed-loop system matrix (7) with (10) and

$$(11) \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} a_0^{11} & a_1^{11} & \cdots & a_{d_1-1}^{11} & a_0^{12} & a_1^{12} & \cdots & a_{d_2-1}^{12} & \cdots & a_0^{1m} & a_1^{1m} & \cdots & a_{d_m-1}^{1m} \\ \hline a_0^{m1} & a_1^{m1} & \cdots & a_{d_1-1}^{m1} & a_0^{m2} & a_1^{m2} & \cdots & a_{d_2-1}^{m2} & \cdots & a_0^{mm} & a_1^{mm} & \cdots & a_{d_m-1}^{mm} \end{bmatrix} \in R^{m \times n}$$

has the form

$$(12) \quad A_c = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in R_+^{d_i \times d_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Then the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied and the closed-loop system is  $n$ -step reachable. Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

**Theorem 4.** Let the positive system (1) with (10) is not  $n$ -step reachable. Then the closed-loop system (6) with (12) is  $n$ -step reachable if the state-feedback gain matrix  $K$  has the form (11).

**Corollary 2.** The  $n$ -step reachability of positive system (1) with (10) is not invariant under the state-feedback (5).

**Remark 2.** It is well-known [8] that if the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfies the condition (4) then it can be transformed by linear transformation  $\bar{x}_i = Px_i$ ,  $\bar{u}_i = Qu_i$ ,  $\det P \neq 0$ ,  $\det Q \neq 0$ , to the canonical form (10)

$$\bar{A} = PAP^{-1}, \quad \bar{B} = PBQ^{-1}$$

and

$$[\bar{B}, \bar{A}\bar{B}, \dots, \bar{A}^{n-1}\bar{B}] = P[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B] \text{diag}[Q^{-1}, \dots, Q^{-1}]$$

Note that the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied if and only if  $P$  and  $Q$  are monomial matrices.

#### 4. Controllability of positive systems.

Consider the multi-inputs system (1) with matrices  $A, B$  in the canonical form (10). In a similar way as in the reachability case it can be shown that the condition i) of theorem 2 is not satisfied if at least  $m$  of the coefficients  $a_k^{ii} \neq 0$  for  $k=1, \dots, d_{i-1}$  and  $i=1, \dots, m$ . In this case the positive system (1) with (10) is not controllable.

The closed-loop system matrix (7) with (10) and state-feedback gain matrix (11) has the form (12). Note that the matrix (12) has all zero eigenvalues and its spectral radius  $r(A_c) = 0$ . Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

**Theorem 5.** Let the positive system (1) with (10) is not controllable. Then the closed-loop system (7) with (12) is controllable in a finite number of steps if the state-feedback gain matrix  $K$  has the form (11).

#### 5. Concluding remarks.

It has been shown that the positive discrete-time unreachable (uncontrollable) system (1) with matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in the canonical form (10) by suitable choice of the state-feedback gain matrix in the form (12) can be made reachable (controllable). This statement is also valid for positive continuous-time linear systems. An extension of this result for weakly positive linear systems [9,19] and for positive 2D linear systems [8] are open problems.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Banaszuk, M. Kociecki (1991), Observability with unknown input and dual properties for singular systems, *J.C.Baltzer AG, Scientific Publishing Co, IMACS*, pp. 125-129.
- [2] S.L.Campbell, N.K. Nichols and W.J. Terrell (1991), Duality, observability and controllability for linear time-varying descriptor systems, *Circuits Systems Signal Process*, vol. 10, No 4, pp. 455-470.
- [3] K.-W. E. Chu (1987), Controllability of descriptor systems, *Int. J. Control*, vol. 46, No 5, pp. 1761-1770.
- [4] D. Cobb (1984), Controllability, observability and duality in singular systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-29, No 12, pp. 1076-1082.
- [5] P.G. Coxson (1987), Positive input reachability and controllability of positive systems, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 94, pp. 35-53.
- [6] M.P. Fanti, B. Maione and B. Turchiano (1989), Controllability of linear single-input positive discrete-time systems, *Int. J. Control*, vol. 50, No 6, pp. 2523-2542.
- [7] M.P. Fanti, B. Maione and B. Turchiano (1990), Controllability of multi-input positive discrete-time systems, *Int. J. Control*, vol. 51, No 6, pp. 1295-1308.
- [8] T. Kaczorek (1993), *Linear Control Systems*, vol. 2, Research Studies Press and J. Wiley, New York.
- [9] T. Kaczorek (1998), Reachability and controllability of weakly positive singular discrete linear systems, *IEEE Mediterranean Conference on Control and Systems*, Wieden, April 11-17, pp. 3-8.
- [10] T. Kaczorek (1998), Computation of fundamental matrices and reachability of positive singular discrete linear systems, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, vol. 47, No. 4, pp.501-511.
- [11] T. Kaczorek (1997), Positive singular discrete linear systems, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, vol. 46, No. 4, pp. 619-631.

- [12] T. Kaczorek (1998), Reachability and controllability of weakly positive singular discrete linear systems, *6th IEEE Mediterranean Conference on Control and Systems*, Wieden, 11-17 kwiecień pp. 3-8.
- [13] T. Kaczorek (1998), Weakly positive continuous-time linear systems, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 233-245.
- [14] J. Klamka (1991), Controllability of Dynamical Systems, *Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht*.
- [15] J. Klamka (1993), Controllability of dynamical systems A survey, *Arch. Contr. Sci.*, vol. 2, No 3-4, pp. 281-307.
- [16] D.N.P. Murthy (1986), Controllability of a linear positive dynamic system, *Int. J. Systems Sci.*, vol. 17, No 1, pp. 49-54.
- [17] Y. Ohta, H. Madae and S. Kodama (1984), Reachability, observability and realizability of continuous-time positive systems, *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, vol. 22, No 2, pp. 171-180.
- [18] K. Ozcaldiran (1986), A geometric characterization of the reachable and the controllable subspaces of descriptor systems, *Circuits, Syst., Signal Processing*, vol. 5, no 1, pp. 37-48.
- [19] E.L. Yip and E.F. Sinovec, Solvability, controllability and observability of continuous descriptor